

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

(SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM)

SECRET

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP**

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	SR/7 [REDACTED]	27 FEB 1956	[]
2	CSR/7 3/6 []		3 JUL 1956
3	DOB		1 JUN 1956
4			
5			
FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	SR/7 ACOP		
2			
3			

<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
 DATE 2007

Remarks:

The should be filed at
 DOB in the files of DOB
 historical material.

y

146

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

FORM NO. 30-4
1 NOV 53

Previous editions may be used.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-68548-2

(40)

SECRET**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM: Chief, SR/ Domestic Operations Base				NO.	
				DATE 19 January 1955	
TO	ROOM NO.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
		REC'D	FWD'D		
'SB/COP/FI					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

~~SECRET~~

19 January 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SR Division

ATTENTION

: []

SUBJECT

: SR Domestic Operations Base

I. PROBLEM: To determine the role of DOB for the next five years.

II. ASSUMPTIONS:

A. There will be REDSOX missions on a limited basis during the cold war period (next 5 years) generated by the SR branches.

B. SR Division will continue responsibility for satisfying Intelligence Community requirements on IPC targets; develop plans and operations to perform all clandestine CIA missions against the USSR and prepare war plans for clandestine operations against the USSR and coordinate them with the war plans developed by the area divisions concerned.

C. DOB will be the nucleus from which a war time training base in the U.S. will be expanded for training of penetration agents into USSR.

III. FACTS BEARING ON THE CASE:

A. Since 1953 the REDSOX effort has been diminished with concurrent emphasis on REDSKIN and CE effort by G/SR.

B. DOB does not engage in CE or REDSKIN training. Such SR Division training needs are fulfilled by OTR covert training personnel.

C. Prior to 1953 all REDSOX missions were germinated in Munich by CSOB except Baltic projects.

D. Prior to 1953 all REDSOX training and recruitment was done by MOB except Baltic (SR/2) REDSOX projects.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

E. SR/2 REDSOX projects have been joint efforts with a foreign intelligence service. Note: Possibly this is the reason MOB was excluded from SR/2 REDSOX affairs.

F. MOB was disbanded during 1953 and its mission and responsibilities were transferred to SR Division and its area branches, except SR/2 MOB training mission was assigned to DOB.

G. During 1954 only SR/2 has launched REDSOX missions trained by DOB.

H. Currently there are only 2 planned REDSOX operations by the SR Division and both are offered by SR/2. (AECHAMP and AECOB)

I. AEACRE Project is approved for FY '54 and '55 based on assumption of 7 REDSOX projects each year with an authorized T/O of 60 Staff personnel and ceilings for 12 covert associates, 8 safe houses, 12 safehousekeepers and $\frac{1}{2}$ million dollars budget annually.

	<u>Authorized</u>	<u>Assigned</u>
Staff employees	60	43
Security guards	5	5
Covert associates	10	9
Safehousekeepers	12	12
Total Personnel	87	69

IV. DISCUSSION:

A. It is apparent from the results that with the transfer of the MOB responsibilities to SR Division during 1953, no lucrative effort has been made to accept and fulfill this REDSOX responsibility by the area branches (SR/2 excepted). SR/2 has always held the REDSOX responsibility for the Baltic area and continued in 1954. The only 1954 REDSOX projects by SR Division were those conducted by SR/2.

B. A limited number of priority targets have been assigned to SR Division. Many have not changed for several years. Complete target briefs in usable form have not been prepared on a majority of them during this period for use by the branches as the first step in developing a selected REDSOX program.

C. This trend of diminishing REDSOX effort is continuing for 1955 making apparent that there will be no REDSOX by SR Division in '55 or '56 except by the continuing effort of SR/2.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

D. If a hot war develops during the next five years with the Soviet Union it is possible that domestic training of SR agent candidates will fall directly under OTR and DOB will be transferred to OTR from which an expanded REDSOX training program will be established in the U.S. [redacted] has stated that [redacted] and [redacted] desire OTR to gradually take direct command or staff supervision of SR/DOB.

E. The accomplishments of DOB during 1954 calendar year consisted of:

1. Maintained a nucleus organization from which a large hot war domestic training base may be expanded and developed.
2. Offered experience to SR staff employees in agent training matters.
3. Provided safehouse support to two AEFILTERS.
4. Trained the following 15 personnel:

Training of 2 AEROOTS
Training of 3 AEHIKERS
Training of CAMBARO 2
Training of SAUCEPAN 4
Training support to 2 SE projects
Partially trained 3 penetration agents
(CARNAZA, SR/2; CALLONA, SR/2; AEPALM, SR/2)
Three REDSKIN agents: REDSKIN/AETIMMY,
AESTELLA; AEJEST.

5. Handled Grallspice I for 8 months.

6. Provided a covert site to handle any emergency debriefing or "massaging" requirements which could not be provided quickly and securely elsewhere.

V. CONCLUSIONS:

A. The above DOB accomplishments for calendar year 1954 have justified DOB's existence as constituted for that period.

B. The number of 1955 REDSOX missions planned by branches and approved by SR/FI is limited to two. It is doubtful that 1956 will be more promising.

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

C. The present scale of requirements levied on DOB for 1955 and 1956 cannot justify its presently constituted organization.

D. Unless SR/3, 4, and 5 accept and fulfill their REDSOX responsibilities i.e. by assigning productive personnel on IPC targets and operations, DOB will not be justified by training requirements levied thereon and should be scaled down to the proper level or disbanded with training requirements handled as in other geographic divisions of the Agency.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS:

That C/SR reaffirm the SR branches responsibility in the REDSOX program and assign specific tasks to appropriate branches and staffs for a selected REDSOX program for 1955 and 1956.

[]
Chief, SR/DOB

SECRET

SECRET

DG
SR/R
7 December 1954
SR/R

1. For the implementation of reconnaissance type missions for the SR Division, SR/R is hereby established, responsible to SR/COP for all such operations.
2. SR/R will be composed of the presently known DGB and will have offices in J Building.
3. In the implementations of such operations, there is hereby established an operations development group which will be composed of the following:
 1. SR/R
 2. SR/Requirements
 3. SR/area branch concerned
 4. SR/TS & SR/CT
 5. *SR/A*
4. The above group will be under the chairmanship of COP/FI.
5. On determination a particular operation will be mounted, SR/R will be responsible for the recruitment, planning, training and dispatching the operation, per plan decided on by the group above.
6. The particular area branch will be responsible for support, necessary liaison within KUBARK, and arranging dispatch facilities as required by SR/S.
7. The local [] will have ultimate veto power over

SECRET

SECRET

the operation, if he finds the operation cannot be mounted for security
or other valid reasons.

SECRET

SECRET

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : []

] SR

DATE: 3/28/55

FROM : []

SUBJECT: []

Tentative Vehicle Procurement

Per your request, here is []
estimate on procedures & time to get an
additional vehicle for DOB starting from
scratch.

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

1. DOB has a Table of Vehicular Allowances (TVA) for 23 vehicles. At present we have 18 on hand. Our procurement action on additional vehicles is made easier, then, since we do not have to submit a justification for each one (through 23) and wait to have that approved. (See paragraph 2(b)(4) of Regulation 45-1525).

2. The procedure is as follows:

We make up three copies of Form 36-263 (Request for Procurement of Covert Motor Vehicle). It is signed by the project case officer or chief of domestic field installation then forwarded to the Chief of SR Division for his signature. From there the request goes to DD/A through DD/P for approval. Theoretically, this procedure should be only a formality in cases of an approved TVA, but the length of time it would take is not easily determined.

3. When the approved request reaches Logistics Office [] the actions are as follows:

- (a) If unallocated vehicles are on hand, the number requested will be assigned to the requesting unit. They are registered (by []) in accordance with the wishes of the requester. Plates are put on, the vehicle serviced, and delivered to the requester. } 2 days
- (b) If the quantity or type of vehicles are not on hand, then a contract is let for their purchase. The contracting procedure can be lengthy and delivery of the vehicles could require as much as four to six months, as it has on some occasions in the past. However, after the Agency has procured them, the registration and delivery procedures are the same as those outlined in paragraph 3(a) above. } 6 months

4. In cases where we would request a vehicle over and above our approved TVA, then a justification for its use would have to be written and finally approved by DD/A before the request could become active. In the absence of hand-carry or close coordination, obtaining this approval could further delay a request for an undetermined length of time.

Based on past experience.

Total Time = 8 months, a week, + 2 days.

~~SECRET~~

1. DOB has a Table of Vehicular Allowances (TVA) for 23 vehicles. At present we have 18 on hand. Our procurement action on additional vehicles is made easier, then, since we do not have to submit a justification for each one (through 23) and wait to have that approved. (See paragraph 2(b)(4) of Regulation 45-1525).

2. The procedure is as follows:

We make up three copies of Form 36-263 (Request for Procurement of Covert Motor Vehicle). It is signed by the project case officer or chief of domestic field installation then forwarded to the Chief of SR Division for his signature. From there the request goes to DD/A through DD/P for approval. Theoretically, this procedure should be only a formality in cases of an approved TVA, but the length of time it would take is not easily determined.

3. When the approved request reaches Logistics Office [] the actions are as follows:

- (a) If unallocated vehicles are on hand, the number requested will be assigned to the requesting unit. They are registered (by) in accordance with the wishes of the requester. Plates are put on, the vehicle serviced, and delivered to the requester.
- (b) If the quantity or type of vehicles are not on hand, then a contract is let for their purchase. The contracting procedure can be lengthy and delivery of the vehicles could require as much as four to six months, as it has on some occasions in the past. However, after the Agency has procured them, the registration and delivery procedures are the same as those outlined in paragraph 3(a) above.

4. In cases where we would request a vehicle over and above our approved TVA, then a justification for its use would have to be written and finally approved by DD/A before the request could become active. In the absence of hand-carry or close coordination, obtaining this approval could further delay a request for an undetermined length of time.